

# Major Australian Banks And Macquarie Bank Outlooks Revised To Stable On Sovereign Outlook Change; Ratings Affirmed

June 7, 2021

- On June 7, 2021, we revised the outlook on our long-term ratings on Australia to stable from negative. The government's swift and decisive fiscal and health response to contain the pandemic and limit long-term economic scarring has seen the economy recover quicker and stronger than we previously expected.
- We are revising to stable from negative our outlooks on each of the four major Australian banks and Macquarie Bank Ltd., Export Finance Australia, and National Housing Finance and Investment Corp. At the same time, we are affirming our ratings on these entities.
- The stable outlook reflects the outlook on Australia.

SYDNEY (S&P Global Ratings) June 7, 2021--S&P Global Ratings today said it has revised its outlooks to stable from negative on the long-term issuer credit ratings on the following Australia-based financial institutions:

- The four major Australian banks: Australia and New Zealand Banking Group Ltd. (ANZ), Commonwealth Bank of Australia (CBA), National Australia Bank Ltd. (NAB), and Westpac Banking Corp. (Westpac). At the same time, we affirmed our 'AA-' long-term issuer credit ratings and 'A-1+' short-term issuer credit ratings on each of the four major banks.
- Macquarie Bank Ltd. (MBL). At the same time, we affirmed our 'A+' long-term and 'A-1' short-term issuer credit ratings on the bank.
- Export Finance Australia (EFA) and National Housing Finance and Investment Corp. (NHFIC). We also affirmed our 'AAA' long-term and 'A-1+' short-term issuer credit ratings on these two entities.

We revised the outlook on Australia (AAA/Stable/A-1+) to stable from negative reflecting the government's swift and decisive fiscal and health response to contain the pandemic and limit long-term economic scarring has seen the economy recover quicker and stronger than we previously expected (see "Australia Outlook Revised To Stable On Swift Economic Recovery; 'AAA/A-1+' Ratings Affirmed," published on June 7, 2021).

The change to our outlooks on the above listed entities is to stable following a similar rating action on Australia. Our outlooks on Westpac's wholly owned subsidiaries Westpac New Zealand Ltd. (WNZL) and Westpac Life-NZ-Ltd. remain negative. The negative outlooks reflect the potential for reduced support from Westpac, in light of Westpac considering a demerger of WNZL.

**PRIMARY CREDIT ANALYST**

**Nico N DeLange**

Sydney  
+ 61 2 9255 9887  
nico.delange  
@spglobal.com

**SECONDARY CONTACTS**

**Lisa Barrett**

Melbourne  
+ 61 3 9631 2081  
lisa.barrett  
@spglobal.com

**Sharad Jain**

Melbourne  
+ 61 3 9631 2077  
sharad.jain  
@spglobal.com

Our issuer credit ratings on ANZ, CBA, MBL, NAB and Westpac are two notches above their stand-alone credit profiles (SACPs), reflecting our view that these systemically important banks are likely to receive timely financial support from the Australian government, if needed.

We revised the outlooks on EFA and NHFIC as we equalize the issuer credit ratings on EFA and NHFIC with the sovereign credit ratings on Australia, reflecting the legislative guarantee in place to support each entity's obligations as and when they fall due.

## **Australia and New Zealand Banking Group Ltd.**

The outlook on ANZ is stable. We forecast ANZ's credit losses in the next two years to return to their pre-COVID levels. Consequently, we expect earnings to remain sound despite low interest rates. We also expect that ANZ would maintain a strong risk-adjusted capital ratio above 10% in the next two years.

### **Upside scenario**

We see very limited upside to our issuer credit ratings on ANZ in the next two years.

Alternative scenario: We see a one-in-three possibility that Australian banks will face reduced industry risks in the next two years. We believe that the Australian banking system's funding profile has improved in the past 10 years on the back of growing customer deposits and falling offshore borrowings. We consider that the stronger systemwide funding metrics could be sustained despite a likely modest weakening in the next three years as the COVID-19 driven rise in customer deposits in 2020 unwinds and the Reserve Bank of Australia's (RBA) term funding matures.

If we form a view that industry risks facing Australian banks have reduced sustainably, all else equal, we expect to:

- Revise ANZ's SACP by one notch to 'a+' from 'a'.
- Maintain our 'AA-' long-term issuer credit rating on ANZ, reducing the uplift in our issuer credit rating on ANZ above the bank's SACP to one notch from two notches. This uplift reflects our assessment of the likelihood of extraordinary support from the Australian sovereign.
- Raise by one notch our rating on each of the Tier-1 and Tier-2 regulatory capital instruments issued by the ANZ group, in line with the revision in the bank's SACP.

### **Downside scenario**

The ratings on ANZ have substantial headroom. As such, we see limited downside in the next two years. Nevertheless, low interest rates, and limited supply of housing stock and higher migration levels could result in a resurgence in house prices and household debt, which would exacerbate economic risks faced by banks in Australia and could exert some downside pressure.

## **Commonwealth Bank of Australia**

The outlook on CBA is stable. We forecast CBA's credit losses in the next two years to return to their pre-COVID levels. Consequently, we expect earnings to remain sound despite low interest rates. We also expect that CBA would maintain a strong risk-adjusted capital ratio above 10% in

the next two years.

## **Upside scenario**

We see very limited upside to our issuer credit ratings on CBA in the next two years.

Alternative scenario: We see a one-in-three possibility that Australian banks will face reduced industry risks in the next two years. We believe that the Australian banking system's funding profile has improved in the past 10 years on the back of growing customer deposits and falling offshore borrowings. We consider that the stronger systemwide funding metrics could be sustained despite a likely modest weakening in the next three years as the COVID-19 driven rise in customer deposits in 2020 unwinds and the RBA's term funding matures.

If we form a view that industry risks facing Australian banks have reduced sustainably, all else equal, we expect to:

- Revise CBA's SACP by one notch to 'a+' from 'a'.
- Maintain our 'AA-' long-term issuer credit rating on CBA, reducing the uplift in our issuer credit rating on CBA above the bank's SACP to one notch from two notches. This uplift reflects our assessment of the likelihood of extraordinary support from the Australian sovereign.
- Raise by one notch our rating on each of the Tier-1 and Tier-2 regulatory capital instruments issued by the CBA group, in line with the revision in the bank's SACP.

## **Downside scenario**

The ratings on CBA have substantial headroom. As such, we see limited downside in the next two years. Nevertheless, low interest rates, and limited supply of housing stock and higher migration levels could result in a resurgence in house prices and household debt, which would exacerbate economic risks faced by banks in Australia and could exert some downside pressures.

## **National Australia Bank Ltd.**

The outlook on NAB is stable. We forecast NAB's credit losses in the next two years to return to their pre-COVID levels. Consequently, we expect earnings to remain sound despite low interest rates. We also expect that NAB would maintain a strong risk-adjusted capital ratio above 10% in the next two years.

## **Upside scenario**

We see very limited upside to our issuer credit ratings on NAB in the next two years.

Alternative scenario: We see a one-in-three possibility that Australian banks will face reduced industry risks in the next two years. We believe that the Australian banking system's funding profile has improved in the past 10 years on the back of growing customer deposits and falling offshore borrowings. We consider that the stronger systemwide funding metrics could be sustained despite a likely modest weakening in the next three years as the COVID-19 driven rise in customer deposits in 2020 unwinds and the RBA's term funding matures.

If we form a view that industry risks facing Australian banks have reduced sustainably, all else equal, we expect to:

- Revise NAB's SACP by one notch to 'a+' from 'a'.
- Maintain our 'AA-' long-term issuer credit rating on NAB, reducing the uplift in our issuer credit rating on NAB above the bank's SACP to one notch from two notches. This uplift reflects our assessment of the likelihood of extraordinary support from the Australian sovereign.
- Raise by one notch our rating on each of the Tier-1 and Tier-2 regulatory capital instruments issued by the NAB group, in line with the revision in the bank's SACP.

## **Downside scenario**

The ratings on NAB have substantial headroom. As such, we see limited downside in the next two years. Nevertheless, low interest rates, and limited supply of housing stock and higher migration levels could result in a resurgence in house prices and household debt, which would exacerbate economic risks faced by banks in Australia and could exert some downside pressure.

## **Westpac Banking Corp.**

The outlook on Westpac is stable. We forecast Westpac's credit losses in the next two years to return to their pre-COVID levels. Consequently, we expect earnings to remain sound despite low interest rates. We also expect that Westpac would maintain a strong risk-adjusted capital ratio above 10% in the next two years.

## **Upside scenario**

We see very limited upside to our issuer credit ratings on Westpac in the next two years.

Alternative scenario: We see a one-in-three possibility that Australian banks will face reduced industry risks in the next two years. We believe that the Australian banking system's funding profile has improved in the past 10 years on the back of growing customer deposits and falling offshore borrowings. We consider that the stronger systemwide funding metrics could be sustained despite a likely modest weakening in the next three years as the COVID-19 driven rise in customer deposits in 2020 unwinds and the RBA's term funding matures.

If we form a view that industry risks facing Australian banks have reduced sustainably, all else equal, we expect to:

- Revise Westpac's SACP by one notch to 'a+' from 'a'.
- Maintain our 'AA-' long-term issuer credit rating on Westpac, reducing the uplift in our issuer credit rating on Westpac above the bank's SACP to one notch from two notches. This uplift reflects our assessment of the likelihood of extraordinary support from the Australian sovereign.
- Raise by one notch our rating on each of the Tier-1 and Tier-2 regulatory capital instruments issued by the Westpac group, in line with the revision in the bank's SACP.

## **Downside scenario**

The ratings on Westpac have substantial headroom. As such, we see limited downside in the next two years. Nevertheless, low interest rates, and limited supply of housing stock and higher

migration levels could result in a resurgence in house prices and household debt, which would exacerbate economic risks faced by banks in Australia and could exert some downside pressures.

## **Westpac New Zealand Ltd.**

The negative outlook on WNZL reflects the potential for reduced support from Westpac in light of it considering a demerger of WNZL. In our view, Westpac's review of a demerger option could indicate WNZL's reducing strategic importance to the group. In our base case, we expect our issuer credit rating on WNZL would remain at the same level as the rating on its parent over the next two years, reflecting our view that WNZL remains highly likely to receive extraordinary financial support from Westpac under all foreseeable situations despite the ongoing assessment of a possible demerger.

### **Downside scenario**

We expect to lower our issuer credit rating on WNZL to 'A+/A-1' in the next two years if we considered that WNZL's strategic importance to the group has reduced and consequently it is less likely to receive timely support from Westpac, if needed. This is likely to occur if Westpac decided to proceed with the demerger.

We see a one-in-three possibility of a downgrade of WNZL in the next two years.

We currently consider a demerger unlikely within the next year or so. Should Westpac proceed with the demerger, we expect we would rate WNZL at its SACP level of 'a-' upon the demerger's completion, all else remaining unchanged.

### **Upside scenario**

We expect to revise the outlook on WNZL to stable within the next two years if we concluded that Westpac is committed to maintaining long-term ownership of WNZL.

## **Westpac Life-NZ-Ltd.**

The negative outlook on WLNZ reflects the potential for reduced support from Westpac in light of it considering a demerger of its New Zealand operations, and aligns with the negative outlook on WNZL. We would not expect to lower our ratings until a transaction is complete as we believe that Westpac will continue to provide a degree of support to its regulated subsidiaries while it continues to own them.

### **Downside scenario**

We could lower the rating over the next two years if we were to view WLNZ as nonstrategic to Westpac. This would occur if a sale of the insurer is complete.

### **Upside scenario**

We could revise our outlook on WLNZ to stable over the next two years if we view that the group will maintain ownership of the insurer.

## Macquarie Bank Ltd.

The outlook on MBL is stable. We forecast MBL's credit losses in the next two years to return to their pre-COVID levels. Consequently, we expect earnings to remain sound despite low interest rates. We also expect that MBL would maintain a strong risk-adjusted capital ratio above 10% in the next two years.

### Upside scenario

We see very limited upside to our issuer credit ratings on MBL in the next two years.

Alternative scenario: We see a one-in-three possibility that Australian banks will face reduced industry risks in the next two years. We believe that the Australian banking system's funding profile has improved in the past 10 years on the back of growing customer deposits and falling offshore borrowings. We consider that the stronger systemwide funding metrics could be sustained despite a likely modest weakening in the next three years as the COVID-19 driven rise in customer deposits in 2020 unwinds and the RBA's term funding matures.

If we form a view that industry risks facing Australian banks have reduced sustainably, all else equal, we expect to:

- Revise MBL's SACP by one notch to 'a' from 'a-'.
- Maintain our 'A+' long-term issuer credit rating on MBL, reducing the uplift in our issuer credit rating on MBL above the bank's SACP to one notch from two notches. This uplift reflects our assessment of the likelihood of extraordinary support from the Australian sovereign.
- Raise by one notch our rating on each of the Tier-1 and Tier-2 regulatory capital instruments issued by MBL, in line with the revision in the bank's SACP.

### Downside scenario

The ratings on MBL have substantial headroom. As such, we see limited downside in the next two years. Nevertheless, low interest rates, and limited supply of housing stock and higher migration levels could result in a resurgence in house prices and household debt, which would exacerbate economic risks faced by banks in Australia and could exert some downside pressures.

## National Housing Finance and Investment Corp

Our outlook on NHFIC mirrors that on Australia and reflects our view that our ratings are unlikely to change in the next two years. We expect our ratings on NHFIC to remain equalized with the ratings on Australia, reflecting the legislative guarantee of NHFIC's obligations.

### Downside scenario

We would expect to lower our ratings on NHFIC if we lowered the rating on Australia. We would also lower the ratings if we considered that there was a weakening in the guarantee from the government of Australia, or changes to the framework for timely payment under the guarantee, if needed.

## Export Finance Australia

Our outlook on EFA mirrors that on Australia and reflects our view that our ratings are unlikely to change in the next two years. We expect our ratings on EFA will continue to be equalized to those on Australia, reflecting the legislative guarantee on EFA's obligations.

## Downside scenario

We would expect to lower our ratings on EFA if we lowered the rating on Australia. We would also lower the ratings if we considered that there was a weakening in the guarantee from the government of Australia, or changes to the framework for timely payment under the guarantee, if needed.

### WEBINAR

S&P Global Ratings will host a webinar and Q&A at 3:30pm Melbourne time on Tuesday, June 8, 2021, to discuss today's rating actions and our insights on the emerging credit issues. If you would like to attend the webinar, please register here:

<https://event.on24.com/wcc/r/3239265/64F6045B67F9BA520F50191B773FEAD7>

## Related Criteria

- General Criteria: Hybrid Capital: Methodology And Assumptions, July 1, 2019
- General Criteria: Group Rating Methodology, July 1, 2019
- Criteria | Insurance | General: Insurers Rating Methodology, July 1, 2019
- General Criteria: Methodology For National And Regional Scale Credit Ratings, June 25, 2018
- Criteria | Governments | Sovereigns: Sovereign Rating Methodology, Dec. 18, 2017
- Criteria | Financial Institutions | General: Risk-Adjusted Capital Framework Methodology, July 20, 2017
- General Criteria: Methodology For Linking Long-Term And Short-Term Ratings, April 7, 2017
- General Criteria: Guarantee Criteria, Oct. 21, 2016
- General Criteria: Ratings Above The Sovereign--Corporate And Government Ratings: Methodology And Assumptions, Nov. 20, 2013
- Criteria | Financial Institutions | Banks: Assessing Bank Branch Creditworthiness, Oct. 14, 2013
- Criteria | Financial Institutions | Banks: Quantitative Metrics For Rating Banks Globally: Methodology And Assumptions, July 17, 2013
- Criteria | Financial Institutions | Banks: Banks: Rating Methodology And Assumptions, Nov. 9, 2011
- Criteria | Financial Institutions | Banks: Banking Industry Country Risk Assessment Methodology And Assumptions, Nov. 9, 2011
- General Criteria: Principles Of Credit Ratings, Feb. 16, 2011
- Criteria | Insurance | General: Refined Methodology And Assumptions For Analyzing Insurer

Capital Adequacy Using The Risk-Based Insurance Capital Model, June 7, 2010

- Criteria | Financial Institutions | Banks: Commercial Paper I: Banks, March 23, 2004

## Ratings List

\*\*\*\*\* Australia \*\*\*\*\*

Ratings Affirmed; CreditWatch/Outlook Action

	To	From
--	----	------

Export Finance Australia

National Housing Finance and Investment Corp.

Issuer Credit Rating	AAA/Stable/A-1+	AAA/Negative/A-1+
----------------------	-----------------	-------------------

\*\*\*\*\* Australia and New Zealand Banking Group Ltd. \*\*\*\*\*

Ratings Affirmed; CreditWatch/Outlook Action

	To	From
--	----	------

Australia and New Zealand Banking Group Ltd.

ANZ Bank New Zealand Ltd.

Issuer Credit Rating	AA-/Stable/A-1+	AA-/Negative/A-1+
----------------------	-----------------	-------------------

Australia and New Zealand Bank (China) Co. Ltd.

Issuer Credit Rating	A+/Stable/A-1	A+/Negative/A-1
----------------------	---------------	-----------------

\*\*\*\*\* Commonwealth Bank of Australia \*\*\*\*\*

Ratings Affirmed; CreditWatch/Outlook Action

	To	From
--	----	------

Commonwealth Bank of Australia

Commonwealth Bank of Australia (Europe) N.V.

CommBank Europe Ltd.

CBA Funding NZ Ltd.

ASB Finance Ltd.

ASB Bank Ltd.

Issuer Credit Rating	AA-/Stable/A-1+	AA-/Negative/A-1+
----------------------	-----------------	-------------------

\*\*\*\*\* Macquarie Group Ltd. \*\*\*\*\*

Ratings Affirmed; CreditWatch/Outlook Action

	To	From
--	----	------

Macquarie Bank Ltd.

Macquarie Bank Europe DAC

Issuer Credit Rating	A+/Stable/A-1	A+/Negative/A-1
----------------------	---------------	-----------------

Macquarie International Finance Ltd.

Issuer Credit Rating	A/Stable/A-1	A/Negative/A-1
----------------------	--------------	----------------

## Major Australian Banks And Macquarie Bank Outlooks Revised To Stable On Sovereign Outlook Change; Ratings Affirmed

\*\*\*\*\* National Australia Bank Ltd. \*\*\*\*\*

### Ratings Affirmed; CreditWatch/Outlook Action

	To	From
--	----	------

#### National Australia Bank Ltd.

#### Bank of New Zealand

Issuer Credit Rating	AA-/Stable/A-1+	AA-/Negative/A-1+
----------------------	-----------------	-------------------

\*\*\*\*\* Westpac Banking Corp. \*\*\*\*\*

### Ratings Affirmed

#### Westpac Life-NZ-Ltd.

Issuer Credit Rating		
----------------------	--	--

Local Currency	A+/Negative/--	
----------------	----------------	--

Financial Strength Rating		
---------------------------	--	--

Local Currency	A+/Negative/--	
----------------	----------------	--

#### Westpac New Zealand Ltd.

Issuer Credit Rating	AA-/Negative/A-1+	
----------------------	-------------------	--

### Ratings Affirmed; CreditWatch/Outlook Action

	To	From
--	----	------

#### Westpac Banking Corp.

#### Westpac Europe Ltd.

Issuer Credit Rating	AA-/Stable/A-1+	AA-/Negative/A-1+
----------------------	-----------------	-------------------

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at [www.standardandpoors.com](http://www.standardandpoors.com) for further information. Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at [www.capitaliq.com](http://www.capitaliq.com). All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on S&P Global Ratings' public website at [www.standardandpoors.com](http://www.standardandpoors.com). Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Copyright © 2021 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, [www.standardandpoors.com](http://www.standardandpoors.com) (free of charge), and [www.ratingsdirect.com](http://www.ratingsdirect.com) (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at [www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees](http://www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees).

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.